

Hogeschool van Amsterdam Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences



Measuring Quality of Grammars for Procedural Level Generation

Procedural Content Generation Workshop August 7th 2018, Malmö, Sweden

Riemer van Rozen^{1,2,3}

Quinten Heijn³

Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences – Play & Civic Media
 Centrum Wiskunde & Informatica – Software Analysis & Transformation
 University of Amsterdam – Master of Software Engineering

Live Game Design

• Premise: Live Game Design project

- case study with Ludomotion
- quality assurance of procedurally generated game levels
- Ludoscope
- Problem. grammar-based procedural level generation raises productivity of level designers at the cost of quality assurance



Unexplored: a roguelike dungeon crawl game that embeds a grammarbased dungeon generator



Problem Statement

- **Problem.** authoring, improving, maintaining grammars is difficult
 - lack of direct manipulation
 - hard to predict how each grammar rule impacts the overall level quality
- **Challenge.** lack of tools and techniques for debugging and testing
- Question: How can the quality of grammars that work on tile maps for procedural level generation be improved?
- **Objectives.** Better tools & techniques





problematic level

Software Evolution

• Software Evolution

- software conforms less and less to the changing expectations of its users
- it becomes harder to adjust the software and maintain its quality
- games = changing requirements
- Two branches of research
 - What evolves. improve knowledge, plan and predict, e.g., by analyzing source code over time
 - How to evolve. improve techniques for adjusting software to new requirements, e.g., with model transformations

Tom Mens Serge Demeyer (Eds) Software Evolution € springer

Software Evolution. Editors: Tom Mens and Serge Demeyer. Springer, 2008

Evolving Software Systems. Editors: Tom Mens, Alexander Serebrenik, Anthony Cleve. Springer 2014

Approach and Contributions

• Level Designer questions

- 1. Efficiency. No dead content?
- **2. Effectiveness.** Are the intended parts in the level?
- **3. Root-cause analysis.** Given a level with a problem, by which rules were the affected tiles generated?
- **4. Bug-fixing.** Does changing a rule improve levels, or does it also introduce new problems?
- 5. Bug-free. How to test?
- **Approach**: use SE techniques to answer level designer questions

1. Metric of Added Detail (MAD)

- Lines of Code (LOC): used to measure volume (or size)
- Cyclomatic Complexity (CC): calculates branch points in control flow
- addresses question 1

2. Specification Analysis Reporting (SAnR)

- grammar rules perform model transformations
- apply origin tracking, record transformations, analyze history
- specify level properties
- addresses questions 2, 3, 4, 5

Dungeon Room Generator

• Dungeon room generator

- toy example
- simple, representative
- Goals
 - Traverse the room
 - Evade fire pillar traps
 - Extinguish the flames
- Level shown





Dungeon room pipeline – stage 1

- First, we add walls

 ■ =off map □ =empty =wall
- Rule r1 replaces empty cells on the room borders by walls
 - (R) includes rule rotations
 - (U) repeats until the rule can no longer be applied
- Running the module results in an empty room with walls

Module m1: add walls



Dungeon room pipeline – stage 2

- Next, we add doors
 =door
- North door. Rule r2 replaces a north wall (neighboring an empty cell) by a door
- East door. Rule r3 replaces an east wall (neighboring an empty cell) by a door
- Running module m2 results in two doors in the walls

Module m2: add doors (**1**x) r2: r3: (**1**x)

Dungeon room pipeline – stage 3

- Finally, we add a challenge
 =pillar
 =water
- Fire pillars set players on fire when they remain close for too long
- Water from a pond enables players to extinguish the flames
- Running module m3 results in a room populated with three fire pillars and a pond

Module m3: add traps





Dungeon room pipeline – complete



Dungeon room pipeline – problems

- **Problem:** fire pillars can block access to doors
- Patch 1: remove obstacles
 - Rule r6 removes fire pillars blocking doors
- **Result:** fewer traps than intended may reduce the difficulty



Dungeon room pipeline – problems

- Patch 2: move obstacles
 - Rule r7 move a fire pillar blocking a door to the left
 - Rule r8 moves a fire pillar blocking a door to the right





Α

2

1

Problems moving pillar 3

Rule r7 moved pillar M

MAD Level Design

- Analyzing rule effect with the Metric of Added Detail (MAD)
 - Design a rule and calculate the MAD score with respect to a symbol hierarchy



- Calculating the metric
 - Neutral effect (0): cell remains the same
 - Add detail (+1): cell is rewritten to a new symbol
 - Remove detail (-1): cell is rewritten to an old symbol



MAD Level Design

Symbol hierarchy $\{ \square, \square \} > \square > \square > \square$



Rule r6 has a negative MAD score, since it removes detail.



Rules r7 and r8 have a neutral MAD score, since they preserve detail.

Level Property Language

• Problems with patching

- difficult to get right
- side-effects
- do not express level properties
- Solution: Level Property Language domain-specific language for expressing level properties
 - declarative instead of transformative
 - uses names of tiles and grammar rules
 - add new properties when needed
 - Iterative testing



Level Property Language

- Amounts. counts locations of specific tile types and verifies expected amount
 - 1x water. size {w} == 1: true
 - 3x pillar. size {a,b,c} == 3: true
- Adjacency. filters tile locations
 - no pillar adjacent to doors
 size {a} == 0: false
- **Topographical inclusion.** filters level generation history using rule names to obtain tile locations
 - 2x doors in walls. collects tiles affected by the walls rule. size {x, y} == 2: true

1x water
3x pillar
no pillar adjacent to door
no water adjacent to pillar
2x door in walls



SAnR Level Design

- Mixed-initiative level design using Specification Analysis Reporting (SAnR)
 - 1. Design grammar rules and level properties
 - 2. Generate levels
 - 3. Select a level to debug
 - Analyze how properties evolved in its level generation history



Project-Ludoscope Lite File-Execute -

E F

# Module	Rule
0 m1	addWalls
1 m2	addNorthDooi
2 m2	addEastDoor
3 m3	addWater
4 m3	addPillar
5 m3	addPillar
6 m3	addPillar

4



Properties 2x door in addWalls 1x water 3x pillar 0x pillar adjacent to door 0x water adjacent to pillar



Number of executions: 1000

Start analysis

	Property	Broken by	Occurences	
Executions: 1000	0x water adjacent to pillar	addPillar	570 (57.000%)	
Unique results: 988	0x pillar adjacent to door	addPillar	318 (31.8000%)	
Broken results: 727				

2

```
1 version: 0.6f
2 start: TILEMAP 1 1 0:undefined
3 rule: clearDoors(width=2, height=2,
gt=7) = TILEMAP 2 2 0:wall 1:door
2:floor 3:pillar > {0 = TILEMAP 2 2
0:wall 1:door 2:pillar 3:floor}
4
```

m4

Executed as grammar





Save changes

Number of executions: 1000

Start analysis

	Property	Broken by	Occurences
Executions: 1000	0x water adjacent to pillar	addPillar	554 (55.4000%)
Unique results: 988	0x water adjacent to pillar	clearDoors	22 (2.2000%)
Broken results: 585	0x pillar adjacent to door	addPillar	38 (3.8000%)
	L Execution #56		
	L Execution #82		
	L Execution #95		
	L Execution #102		
	L Execution #158		
	L Execution #186		
	L Execution #205		
	L Execution #220		
	L Execution #227		

Conclusions

• Software Evolution perspective

- opportunities for tool improvements
- Two novel techniques for grammar-based level generation, specifically for tile maps

1. Metric of Added Detail (MAD)

 raises flags for rules that remove details (which may be problematic)

2. Specification Analysis Reporting (SAnR)

- adds declarative level properties
- provides insight into level generation history
- Evaluation and validation
 - Case study on Boulder Dash

